Future of ACT-R?



Theory

- memory context, memory stuffing?
- instruction following?
- episodic memory, ...?

Software

- versions of ACT-R?
- higher-level tools?
- Scale & Integration
 - large knowledge bases?
 - reusable models?

Neuroscience

- neural models? MEG?
- Beyond Rational (Emotion)
 - in or out? :)
 - arousal & valence?
- External Interaction
 - an experiment robot?
 - models with serious environments? (X-Plane, SF)
- Teaching ACT-R
 - to students? researchers?

In the Long Run, Only the Paranoid Survive

- 2000s were the decade of *convergence* in Cog Arch
 - No architecture has proven good at everything (AI, CogSci)
- Stable state suggests maturity and reaching asymptote
 - Premature convergence? Could this be a local minimum?
- Fit measures and test suites for incremental progress
 - Running all existing models in new architecture doesn't work
 - We have never done that for past major changes (3.0, 5.0)
 - Existing models and parameters optimized for old system
 - Modifying all models has high costs and little benefits
 - Past success is growing drag on architecture changes
 - Best that can be achieved is conceptual "should still work"
 - Incentives present breadth/depth tradeoff against integration

Evolve from isolated task-specific models?

- Several people brought up the issue of reusing models, or creating libraries
- Ties in to long-term learning and interaction: have a model that runs of longer periods of time carrying out different tasks
- Can this be the new goal to converge to (in the sense of Dario's graphs)?